arXiv:1202.2942v1 [math.AG] 14 Feb 2012

ON THE BIRATIONAL NATURE OF LIFTING

CHRISTIAN LIEDTKE AND MATTHEW SATRIANO

ABSTRACT. Let X and Y be proper birational varieties, say with only rational double points over a perfect field k of positive characteristic. If X lifts to $W_n(k)$, is it true that Y has the same lifting property? This is true for smooth surfaces, but we show by example that this is false for smooth varieties in higher dimension, and for surfaces with canonical singularities. We also answer a stacky analogue of this question: given a canonical surface X with minimal resolution Y and stacky resolution $\mathcal{X}$, we characterize when liftability of Y is equivalent to that of $\mathcal{X}$.

The main input for our results is a study of how the deformation functor of a canonical surface singularity compares with the deformation functor of its minimal resolution. This extends work of Burns and Wahl to positive characteristic. As a byproduct, we show that Tjurina's vanishing result fails for every canonical surface singularity in every positive characteristic.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1961, Serre gave a surprising example of a smooth projective variety over a field of positive characteristic which admits no lifting to characteristic 0 [Ser61]. The question of whether a variety admits such a lift is oftentimes subtle, and is intimately tied to pathological behavior in positive characteristic. In this paper, we explore the extent to which liftability is a birational invariant. Since many classification results and constructions in classical algebraic geometry yield singular varieties, and lifting is often easier to establish for these singular models (see, for example [Lie12]), we will study varieties with mild singularities.

Question 1. Let X and Y be proper birational varieties of dimension d, say, with at worst rational double points over a perfect field k of positive characteristic. If Y lifts to $W_n(k)$, is it true that X also lifts to $W_n(k)$?

Note that this question has two main features: first, we put a bound on the singularities of X and Y; second, we ask for unramified lifts, namely lifts to $W_n(k)$ as opposed to extensions of $W_n(k)$. A bound on the singularities is certainly needed to make Question 1 meaningful. Indeed, every d-dimensional projective variety X is birational, via generic projection, to a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{d+1}$. This hypersurface may have bad singularities (for example, non-normal), but it always lifts to $W(k)$. On the other hand, X may fail to lift.

Second, recall that there is an important distinction between unramified and ramified lifts of a variety. As is well-known, many fundamental theorems in characteristic 0 fail to hold in positive characteristic: global differential forms need not be closed [Mu61] and Kodaira vanishing may fail to hold [Ray78]. However, if X admits a lift to $W_2(k)$, by a result of Deligne and Illusie [DI87], these pathologies disappear. As examples of Lang show [La95], even if a variety admits a lift to a ramified extension of $W(k)$ with the smallest possible ramification index, namely 2, this is not enough to ensure that global differential forms be closed. Hence, we restrict attention in Question 1 to the case of unramified lifts.

Question 1 is known to have a positive answer for smooth surfaces. In contrast, we prove the following result for higher dimensional varieties.

Theorem 1.1. *If $d \geq 3$, Question 1 has a negative answer, even if X and Y are smooth. In fact, if $d \geq 5$, there exist*

(a) *smooth blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^d_k$ that do not lift to $W_2(k)$.*

Date: February 15, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E05, 14D15, 14G17, 14D23.

(b) *smooth blow-ups of* $\mathbb{P}_k^d$ *that do not lift to any ramified extension of* $W(k)$.

Our specific counter-examples in dimensions 3 and 4 are given in Theorem 2.4. In Theorem 2.5 we give further examples of 3-folds with ordinary double points that lift to $W(k)$, but where small resolutions of singularities do not even lift to $W_2(k)$.

We next turn to the case of surfaces with singularities (see Theorem 3.4 for the counter-examples).

Theorem 1.2. *If* $d = 2$*, Question 1 again has a negative answer; however, if* X *has at worst rational singularities and* Y *is smooth, then Question 1 has a positive answer.*

Lastly, we explore a variant on Question 1 which constitutes the most subtle part of the paper. If X is a surface with canonical singularities, classically one studies the minimal resolution of singularities

$$f\,:\,Y\,\to\,X.$$

Under a further mild assumption on the singularities of X, [Sa09] shows that there is a smooth stack $\mathcal{X}$ with coarse space X whose stacky structure lies over the singular points of X. That is, we have a stacky resolution

$$\pi\,:\,\mathcal{X}\,\to\,X.$$

The interplay between the birational geometry of Y and $\mathcal{X}$ in characteristic 0 has been the source of many interesting questions, for example, the McKay correspondence [BKR01, CH08]. Here we ask another question concerning the birational geometry of Y and $\mathcal{X}$, namely the stacky version of Question 1: is liftability of $\mathcal{X}$ equivalent to that of Y?

Since Question 1 has an affirmative answer for smooth surfaces, one might expect that liftability of the smooth stacky surfaces $\mathcal{X}$ and Y is equivalent. We show that this is the case precisely when X does not have wild A_n-singularities, that is, A_n-singularities with p dividing $n + 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* X *be a proper surface with linearly reductive quotient singularities (see Definition 4.1).*

1. *If* $\mathcal{X}$ *lifts to* $W_n(k)$*, then* Y *does as well.*
2. *If* X *has canonical singularities and no wild* A_n*-singularities, then liftability of* Y *to* $W_2(k)$ *implies that of* $\mathcal{X}$.
3. *In characteristic* 2*, there is a singular K3 surface* X *with only (canonical wild)* A_1*-singularities such that* X *and* Y *lift to* $W(k)$*, but* $\mathcal{X}$ *does not lift to* $W_2(k)$.

The main input for Theorem 1.3 is a study of the relationship between the deformation functor of an isolated canonical singularity and the deformation functor of its minimal resolution. This analysis, which we carry out in §4, extends results of Burns and Wahl [BW74] to positive characteristic, and continues the work of Wahl [Wa75]. We show that for linearly reductive quotient singularities that are not wild A_n-singularities, many results from [BW74] still hold true in positive characteristic. On the other hand, we show in Remark 4.9 that Tjurina's vanishing result [Tj68] fails for every canonical singularity in every positive characteristic.

We conclude the introduction by mentioning that our above results also answer the following variant on Question 1.

Question 2. Let X and Y be proper birational varieties with at worst rational double points over a perfect field k of positive characteristic. If Y lifts to $W(k)$, does X lifts to an *extension* of $W(k)$?

Although Theorem 1.2 shows that Question 1 has a negative answer for surfaces, Artin's result [Ar74, Theorem 3] show that Question 2 has a *positive* answer for surfaces. In contrast, the examples we produce in Theorems 1.1(b) and 2.5 show that Question 2 has a negative answer in higher dimension.

Organization. In Sections 2, 3, and 5, we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3, respectively. Section 4 is devoted to the study of isolated canonical singularities. We begin Section 4 by recalling the definition of linearly reductive quotient singularities, and giving a complete description of which canonical singularities are of this form. We then study the deformation functors of these singularities and obtain counter-examples to Tjurina vanishing.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Dan Abramovich, Bhargav Bhatt, Brian Conrad, Sławomir Cynk, Torsten Ekedahl, Anton Geraschenko, Jesse Kass, Holger Partsch, David Rydh, Felix Schüller and Matthias Schütt for helpful conversations. The first named author was supported by DFG grant LI 1906/1-2 and thanks the department of mathematics at Stanford university for kind hospitality. The second named author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0943832 and an NSF postdoctoral fellowship (DMS-1103788).

NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

Given a complete Noetherian local ring A with residue field k, a *lift* of a k-scheme to A will mean a flat formal lift to $\mathrm{Spf}\ A$, unless we specify a lift explicitly as algebraic or projective.

Unless otherwise mentioned, all algebraic stacks are assumed to be locally of finite presentation with finite diagonal, so that by Keel–Mori [KM97], they have coarse spaces.

For a scheme X over k, we let $\Theta_X := \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

2. COUNTER-EXAMPLES IN HIGHER DIMENSION

In this section, we prove Theorems 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 which give refined versions of Theorem 1.1. We begin with some general results concerning liftings and blown-downs in §2.1 before turning to the counter-examples in §2.2.

2.1. **General lifting results.**

Throughout this subsection, let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k. We begin by recalling a result of Burns and Wahl [BW74, Proposition 2.3] which shows that certain deformations can be blown-down. In the following form, the result is due to Cynk and van Straten [CSt09, Theorem 3.1].

Proposition 2.1 (Burns–Wahl, Cynk–van Straten)**.** *Let X and Y be schemes over k. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism such that $Rf_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$. If Y lifts to A, then X does as well. Explicitly, if Y' is a lift of Y to A, then we may view $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ as a sheaf on the topological space Y; the topological space of X endowed with the sheaf $f_*\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ is a lift of X to A.*

We continue with a simple lifting result, which shows that in certain cases, Question 1 has an affirmative answer.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : Y \to X$ be a birational morphism between two smooth proper varieties over a field k.*

1. *If Y lifts to A, then X does as well.*
2. *If f is the blow-up of a closed point and X lifts to A, then Y lifts to A.*
3. *If f is the blow-up of a smooth subvariety $Z \subset X$ and Y lifts to A, then so do Z and X. Moreover, there also exists a lift of Z as subvariety of X.*

Proof. By [CR09, Corollary 3.2.4], we have $Rf_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$, and so (1) follows from Proposition 2.1.

To prove (2), let X' be a lift of X to $\mathrm{Spf}\ A$. By Hensel's lemma, there exists a flat A-algebra B, and a morphism $\sigma : \mathrm{Spf}\ B \to X'$, flat over $\mathrm{Spf}\ A$, that specializes to the closed point of the blow-up f. Therefore, the blow-up of X' in σ is a lift of Y to A.

Lastly, we prove (3). Let E be the exceptional divisor of f, and let Y' be a lift of Y to A. Since $N_{E/Y}$ restricts to $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ on each fiber of Z, we see from the Grothendieck-Leray spectral sequence that $H^1(N_{E/Y}) = 0$. Therefore, E lifts to a closed subscheme $E' \subset Y'$. Blowing these down as in Proposition 2.1, we obtain a lift X' of X and a lift of Z to a closed subscheme of X'. □

2.2. **Counter-examples.**

We begin this subsection with the counter-examples in dimension $d \geq 5$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic and let $d \geq 5$. Then there exist blow-ups in smooth centers*

(a) $f_1 : Y_1 \to \mathbb{P}^d_k$ *such that Y_1 does not lift to $W_2(k)$, and*
(b) $f_2 : Y_2 \to \mathbb{P}^d_k$ *such that Y_2 does not lift to any ramified extension of $W(k)$.*

On the other hand, $\mathbb{P}^d_k$ *lifts projectively to* $W(k)$.

Proof. Let S be a smooth projective surface over k that does not lift to $W_2(k)$. For example, the counter-examples to Kodaira vanishing from [Ray78, §2] have this property. Since every surface can be embedded into $\mathbb{P}^5_k$, we may assume $S \subset \mathbb{P}^5_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^d_k$. If $f_1 : Y_1 \to \mathbb{P}^d_k$ is the blow-up in S, then Y_1 does not lift to $W_2(k)$ by Proposition 2.2(3).

Next, let S be a smooth projective surface over k that does not lift projectively to any ramified extension of $W(k)$. For example, counter-examples to the Bogomolov–Miyaoka–Yau inequality have this property, see [BHH87, 3.5J] or [Ea08]. We choose an embedding of S into $\mathbb{P}^5_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^d_k$ and let $f_2 : Y_2 \to \mathbb{P}^d_k$ be the blow-up along S.

Suppose Y_2 lifts (formally) to Y_2' over a ramified extension R of $W(k)$. Since $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}) = 0$, every ample invertible sheaf of Y_2 lifts to Y_2'. Therefore, Y_2' is algebraizable and projective by Grothendieck's existence theorem. By Proposition 2.2(3), we obtain a projective lift of S to R, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Next, we give lower dimensional counter-examples, whose constructions are inspired by Raynaud's counter-examples to Kodaira vanishing [Ray78, §2].

Theorem 2.4. *For every algebraically closed field* k *of positive characteristic and integer* $d \geq 3$, *there exists*

1. *a smooth ruled* d*-dimensional variety* X *over* k *that lifts projectively to* $W(k)$*, and*
2. *a blow-up* $f : Y \to X$ *in a smooth curve such that* Y *does not lift to* $W_2(k)$.

Proof. By [Ray78, §2], there is a projective smooth curve C of genus at least 2 over k, a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of rank 2 on C, and a closed subscheme D of the surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ satisfying the following: D is a smooth curve with $D^{(p)} = C$, and the composite $D \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to C$ is the Frobenius morphism. Let X be the smooth ruled d-fold $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{d-2})$. The projection $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{d-2} \to \mathcal{E}$ induces an embedding of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ into X. Let Y be the blow-up of X along D.

We first show that X lifts projectively to $W(k)$. Since C is a projective smooth curve, it lifts projectively to $\widetilde{C}$ over $W(k)$. We see that $\mathcal{E}$ lifts to $\widetilde{C}$ as $H^2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})) = 0$, and so X lifts to $W(k)$. Such a lift is algebraizable as $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Next, we show that Y does not lift to $W_2(k)$. If it lifts, then by Proposition 2.2(3), we obtain a lift X' of X to $W_2(k)$ and a lift $D' \subset X'$ of $D \subset X$. By Proposition 2.1, we can blow-down X' to obtain a lift C' of C. The composite $D' \to X' \to C'$ is then a lift of Frobenius, which is not possible, as shown in [Ray83, Lemma I.5.4]. $\square$

Finally, we show that there exist 3-folds with ordinary double points that lift to $W(k)$, but where small resolutions of singularities do not even lift to $W_2(k)$. We recall that the ordinary 3-dimensional double point is defined to be

$$k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xy - zw).$$

In every characteristic, this singularity is normal, Gorenstein, and possesses a small resolution whose exceptional locus is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, see [CSt09, §4]. In particular, this singularity is canonical in characteristic zero.

Theorem 2.5 (Cynk–van Straten, Schoen, $+\varepsilon$)**.** *For every prime*

$$p \in \{3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 29, 41, 73, 251, 919, 9001\}$$

there exists a projective Calabi–Yau 3*-fold* X *over* $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ *with only ordinary double points as singularities with the following properties:*

1. X *lifts projectively to* $W(k)$,
2. *there exist small resolutions of singularities* $Y \to X$ *in the category of algebraic spaces, but none of them lifts to* $W_2(k)$ *or to a ramified extension of* $W(k)$,
3. *there exist projective resolutions of singularities* $Z \to X$ *that neither lift to* $W_2(k)$ *nor to a ramified extension of* $W(k)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}_i \to \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$ be two rational elliptic surfaces over $W(k)$ that have 4 singular fibers of type I_1 as in [CSt09, §6.2] or [Sch09, §4]. Assume that the singular fibers lie over $\{0, 1, \lambda, \infty\}$ and $\{0, 1, \mu, \infty\}$ with $\lambda \equiv \mu \mod p$, but $\lambda \not\equiv \mu \mod p^2$. The fiber product $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{S}_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{S}_2$ is projective of relative dimension 3 over $W(k)$. The singularities of the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ are ordinary double points lying over $\{0, 1, \infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, whereas the special fiber $X := \mathcal{X}_k$ has an extra double point lying over $\overline{\lambda} = \overline{\mu}$.

By blowing up the reduced singular locus $Z \to X$, we obtain a projective resolution of singularities. Since $H^3_{\text{ét}}(Z, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$ by [Sch09, Cororollary 3.2], it follows from [Sch09, Proposition 11.1] that Z does not admit a lift even to a ramified extension of $W(k)$.

By [Sch88, Lemma 3.1] or [CSt09, §6.2], there exists a small resolution $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ of the 3 double points lying over $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ in the category of algebraic spaces. The reduction $\overline{X}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ modulo p has precisely one double point and is a partial resolution of singularities of X. Let $Y \to \overline{X}$ be a small resolution of this double point, still in the category of algebraic spaces. Since Y is rigid by [CSt09, §6], and $\overline{X}$ has precisely one double point, it follows from [CSt09, Remark 4.5] that $\overline{X}$ is also rigid. Thus, Y does not lift to a ramified extension of $W(k)$ by [CSt09, Theorem 4.3] (although this result is stated for schemes, it also holds for algebraic spaces, see the discussion in [CSt09, §6]).

We claim that neither Z nor Y lifts to $W_2(k)$: since Z dominates Y, it suffices to show that Y does not lift to $W_2(k)$ by Proposition 2.1. Thus, assume to the contrary that Y lifts to some Y' over $W_2(k)$. This lift blows down to a lift $\overline{X}'$ of $\overline{X}$ by Proposition 2.1. By [CSt09, page 237], the induced lift of the double point is analytically equivalent to

$$W_2(k)[[x, y, z, w]]/(xy - zw).$$

By rigidity of $\overline{X}$, the lift $\overline{X}'$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{W(k)} W_2(k)$. However, a local analysis of the latter shows that in all cases, the induced lift of the double point is analytically equivalent to

$$W_2(k)[[x, y, z, w]]/(xy - zw - p)$$

(here, we use that $\lambda \not\equiv \mu \mod p^2$), a contradiction. Thus, Y does not lift to $W_2(k)$. ☐

3. On the birational nature of lifting for surfaces

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We begin with Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, which give the well-known positive results of the theorem.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k. Let X and Y be smooth proper birational surfaces over k. Then X lifts to A if and only if Y does.*

Proof. From the structure result of birational maps, it follows that there exists a smooth surface Z over k, and proper birational morphisms $Z \to X$ and $Z \to Y$. Moreover, these proper birational morphisms can be factored into sequences of blow-ups at closed points. Thus, it suffices to treat the case where $f : Y \to X$ is the blow-up at a closed point. In this situation, if Y lifts to A, then so does X by Proposition 2.1. Conversely, if X lifts to A, then so does Y by Proposition 2.2(2). ☐

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k. Let X and Y be proper birational surfaces over k with Y smooth and X at worst rational singularities. If Y lifts to A, then X does as well.*

Proof. Let $f : Z \to X$ be a resolution of singularities. By assumption, it satisfies $Rf_*\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_X$. Since Y lifts to A, so does Z by Proposition 3.1. Hence, X lifts to A by Proposition 2.1. ☐

Remark 3.3. As stated above, Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 are known. For a deformation theoretic proof of the former, see [Suh08, Proposition 1.2.2].

Lastly, we construct the counter-examples of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.4. *For every perfect field k of positive characteristic $p \geq 3$, there exists*

1. *a surface X with canonical singularities that lifts projectively to $W(k)$, whereas*
2. *no smooth model of X lifts to $W_2(k)$.*

Proof. We show that the examples from [Hi99, Example 3.6] have the desired property. For each such surface X, there is a purely inseparable morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^2_k \to X$ of degree p. Since the k-linear Frobenius morphism $\mathbb{P}^2_k \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ factors through φ, we obtain a presentation of X as a purely inseparable cover of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ defined by $z^p - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ for some homogeneous polynomial f. Since we can lift the cover to $W(k)$, we see that X lifts projectively to $W(k)$.

On the other hand, by [Hi99, Theorem 3.1], a resolution of singularities of X has a non-zero regular 1-form that is not closed. In particular, there is a non-trivial differential in the Frölicher spectral sequence from Hodge-to-de Rham cohomology on the E_1 page. However, if a resolution of singularities of X were to lift to $W_2(k)$ then its Frölicher spectral sequence would degenerate at E_1 by [DI87, Corollaire 2.4], a contradiction. $\square$

Remark 3.5. While no smooth model of X lifts to $W_2(k)$, it follows from Artin's result [Ar74, Theorem 3] that every smooth model lifts to a ramified extension of $W(k)$.

4. CANONICAL SURFACE SINGULARITIES

In this section we study the relationship between the deformation functor of an isolated canonical singularity and the deformation functor of its minimal resolution. This generalizes many of the results of Burns–Wahl [BW74, §1–2] to positive characteristic, and continues the analysis of Wahl [Wa75]. Although not the primary focus of this article, we also study μ_n-quotient singularities, that is, singularities which are analytically locally a quotient of $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ by μ_n. Our study of μ_n-quotient singularities uses results of Lee and Nakayama [LN11, §2].

In §4.1, we introduce the definition of linearly reductive quotient singularities and give a complete characterization of canonical surface singularities which are of this form. In §4.2 we turn to the study of deformation functors.

4.1. Linearly reductive quotient singularities.

Over the complex numbers and in dimension 2, rational double points are also known as canonical singularities, Du Val singularities, ADE singularities, or Kleinian singularities, and they coincide with the class of rational Gorenstein singularities. Moreover, these singularities are precisely those which are analytically quotients by finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, see [Dur79] for an overview.

In positive characteristic, it is no longer true that every canonical surface singularity is a quotient of a smooth surface by a finite group; however, we show in Proposition 4.2 that most canonical surface singularities are examples of the following type of singularity:

Definition 4.1. A scheme over a field k has *linearly reductive quotient singularities* (resp. *tame quotient singularities*) if it is étale locally isomorphic to the quotient of a smooth k-scheme by a finite linearly reductive group scheme (resp. finite étale group scheme of order prime to the characteristic of k).

Note that tame quotient singularities are examples of linearly reductive quotient singularities. These two classes of singularities agree in characteristic 0, but differ in positive characteristic.

We recall from [Ar62, Theorem 2.7] that in any characteristic, the dual resolution graph for the minimal resolution of a canonical surface singularity over an algebraically closed field is a Dynkin diagram of type A, D, or E.

Proposition 4.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. The following table summarizes when canonical surface singularities over k are linearly reductive quotient singularities (resp. tame quotient singularities):*

	linearly reductive quotient singularity	*tame quotient singularity*
A_{n-1}	*every* p	$p \nmid n$
D_{n+2}	$p \geq 3$	$p \geq 3$, $p \nmid n$
E_6	$p \geq 5$	$p \geq 5$
E_7	$p \geq 5$	$p \geq 5$
E_8	$p \geq 7$	$p \geq 7$

In particular, if $p \geq 7$ every canonical surface singularity over k is a linearly reductive quotient singularity.

Caution 4.3. In Artin's terminology from [Ar77, §2], *tame* means that the local fundamental group is of order prime-to-p. In particular, a canonical singularity that is a tame quotient singularity, is also tame in Artin's sense but the converse is not true. For example, an A_{n-1}-singularity is always tame in the sense of Artin, but it is a tame quotient singularity if and only if p does not divide n.

Proof. By Artin's approximation results [Ar69, Theorem (3.10)], it suffices to show that a canonical surface singularity is analytically isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ by a finite linearly reductive group scheme, or a finite flat group scheme of order prime to p, respectively.

We begin with our assertions on A_{n-1}-singularities. Such singularities are analytically isomorphic to $k[[u,v,w]]/(uv - w^n)$. We can realize this as the complete local ring at the origin of the quotient $\mathbb{A}^2_k/\mu_n$, where the action

$$\mu_n \times \mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^2_k$$

of μ_n on $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ is given by the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[x,y] & \to & k[x,y] \otimes_k k[t]/(t^n - 1) \\ x & \mapsto & x \otimes t \\ y & \mapsto & y \otimes t^{n-1} \end{array}$$

Alternatively, the action can be described as follows: for any k-scheme T, the action of $\zeta \in \mu_n(T)$ is given on T-valued points by sending $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}^2_T(T)$ to $f(\zeta x, \zeta^{-1} y)$. This proves our assertion for A_{n-1}-singularities, as the group scheme μ_n is linearly reductive for all p and it is of order prime to p precisely when p does not divide n.

We now turn to D_{n+2}-singularities. For $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$, we consider the closed subscheme BD_n of SL_2 defined by the surjection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}]/(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} - 1) & \to & k[a,b]/((a^{2n}-1)(b^{2n}-1), ab) \\ a_{11} & \mapsto & a \\ a_{12} & \mapsto & b \\ a_{21} & \mapsto & -b^{2n-1} \\ a_{22} & \mapsto & a^{2n-1} \end{array}$$

The Hopf algebra structure on $k[\mathrm{SL}_2]$ induces a Hopf algebra structure on BD_n. Moreover, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_{2n} \to \mathrm{BD}_n \to \mu_2 \to 1,$$

where the inclusion of μ_{2n} into BD_n is defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[a,b]/((a^{2n}-1)(b^{2n}-1), ab) & \to & k[z]/(z^{2n} - 1) \\ a & \mapsto & z \\ b & \mapsto & 0 \end{array} .$$

Nagata's theorem [Na61, Theorem 2] therefore shows that BD_n is linearly reductive.

If p does not divide n, then BD_n is the constant group scheme associated to the binary dihedral group of order $4n$, and corresponds to the subgroup of SL_2 generated by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ζ is a primitive $2n$.th root of unity.

The standard action of SL_2 on $k[x,y]$ induces an action of BD_n, and a straightforward computation of invariants reveals

$$\begin{aligned} k[x,y]^{\mathrm{BD}_n} & \cong k[x^{2n} + y^{2n}, (xy)^2, xy(x^{2n} - y^{2n})] \\ & \cong k[u,v,w]/(w^2 - u^2 v - 4v^{n+1}) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbb{A}^2_k/\mathrm{BD}_n$ is analytically isomorphic to the singularity of type D_{n+2} in every characteristic $p \geq 3$, and our assertions on D_{n+2}-singularities follow.

Finally, the claims for E_6, E_7 and E_8 follow from the classification and the local fundamental groups of these singularities in [Ar77, §5]. $\square$

Remark 4.4. For the remaining combinations (Γ, p) of simply laced Dynkin diagram Γ and prime $p \leq 5$ not in the table of Proposition 4.2, there exist canonical surface singularities of type Γ in characteristic p, whose local fundamental groups have a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-quotient, see the lists in [Ar77, §4-5]. Such singularities cannot be linearly reductive quotient singularities. Incidentally, these singularities are precisely those canonical surface singularities that are not taut, that is, their analytic isomorphism type is not determined by the dual resolution graph of the minimal resolution, see [Ar77, §3].

Remark 4.5. In characteristic p, quotients by α_p or $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, both of which are not linearly reductive, may give rise to non-rational singularities, see [Lie08, Proposition 3.2] or [Lor].

4.2. **Deformation theory.** Let X be an affine surface over an algebraically closed field k with an isolated singularity. Let $f : Y \to X$ be the minimal resolution of singularities, and E the reduced exceptional divisor.

We start with a result that is implicit in the explicit lists of [Ar77, §3].

Proposition 4.6 (Artin $+\varepsilon$). *Let X have a canonical singularity that is a linearly reductive quotient singularity. Let n be the number of (-2)-curves of E and $d = \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.*

1. *if X has a tame quotient singularity, then $d = n$,*
2. *if X has a D_n-singularity and $p \geq 3$, then $d = n$, and*
3. *if X has a wild A-singularity, then $d = n + 1$.*

In particular, we find $d \geq n$ in all cases.

Proof. For any scheme Z of the form $\operatorname{Spec} k[x, y, z]/(g(x, y, z))$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_{Z/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ can be identified with $k[x, y, z]/(g, \partial_x g, \partial_y g, \partial_z g)$, see for example the discussion in [CSt09, §4]. Each of the cases (1)–(3) are of this form; using the explicit equations g obtained in [Ar77, §3], the result follows from straightforward computations which we leave to the reader. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose X has a canonical singularity, and let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be the irreducible components of E. Then for all $m \geq 1$, $H^1(E_i, \Theta_Y|_{E_i}(2m)) = 0$ and the natural map*

$$H^1(\Theta_Y|_{(m+1)E_i}) \to H^1(\Theta_Y|_{mE_i})$$

is an isomorphism of 1-dimensional vector spaces.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Theta_{E_i} \to \Theta_Y|_{E_i} \to N_{E_i} \to 0\,.$$

Since E_i is a (-2)-curve, we have $\Theta_{E_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ and $N_{E_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$. Hence, $H^1(E_i, \Theta_Y|_{E_i})$ is 1-dimensional and $H^1(E_i, \Theta_Y|_{E_i}(2m)) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Since $E_i^2 = -2$, we also have the following short exact sequences for all $m \geq 1$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(2m) \to \mathcal{O}_{(m+1)E_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{mE_i} \to 0\,.$$

Twisting by Θ_Y and taking cohomology, we find that $H^1(\Theta_{(m+1)E_i}) \to H^1(\Theta_{mE_i})$ is an isomorphism for all $m \geq 1$. $\square$

The following proposition generalizes [BW74, Proposition (1.10)] to positive characteristic. The classical proof over the complex numbers relies on the equivariance of these singularities, as well as a vanishing result of Tjurina [Tj68]. Equivariance does not hold for wild A-singularities, and we see in Remark 4.9 that Tjurina vanishing fails for every canonical singularity in every positive characteristic.

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose X has a μ_n-quotient singularity, or a canonical singularity. If n denotes the number of (-2)-curves of E, then*

$$n = \dim_k H^1(Y, \Theta_Y) = \dim_k H^1_E(Y, \Theta_Y).$$

Proof. First assume that X has a canonical singularity. In this case, $\dim_k H^1_E(Y, \Theta_Y) = n$ by the proof of [Wa75, Theorem (6.1)]; note that the required vanishing results are provided by [Wa75, Theorem (5.19)].

Let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be the irreducible components of E. For all $m \geq 1$, consider the partial normalization maps $\nu_m : \coprod_{i=1}^n mE_i \to mE$. Then, $\nu_m^* \Theta_Y|_{mE} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Theta_Y|_{mE_i}$, and we obtain a morphism

$$\varphi_m : \Theta_Y|_{mE} \to \nu_{m,*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Theta_Y|_{mE_i}.$$

Since φ_m is generically an isomorphism, $\mathcal{T}_m := \operatorname{coker} \varphi_m$ is torsion, supported on the singularities of E. We claim that φ_m is injective. To prove this, it suffices to check this on completed local rings. Since the E_i intersect transversely, we are reduced to showing that the natural map

$$k[[x,y]]/((xy)^m) \to k[[x,y]]/(x^m) \oplus k[[x,y]]/(y^m)$$

is injective, which is true. Hence, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Theta_Y|_{mE} \overset{\varphi_m}{\to} \nu_{m,*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Theta_Y|_{mE_i} \to \mathcal{T}_m \to 0.$$

By Lemma 4.7, we see then that the induced map

$$\psi_\ell : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(\Theta_Y|_{E_i}(2\ell)) \to H^0(\mathcal{T}_1(2\ell))$$

is surjective for all $\ell > 0$. We claim that ψ_0 is surjective as well: by [BW74, (1.9)], we have $\Theta_E \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2 - t_i)$, where t_i denotes the length of $\operatorname{Sing}(E)|_{E_i}$. From the classification of the dual resolution graphs we find $t_i \leq 3$, and compute $h^0(E, \Theta_E) = 3n - \sum_i t_i$. Also, we have $\sum_i h^0(E_i, \Theta_{E_i}) = 3n$. Next, Θ_{E_i} injects into $\Theta_Y|_{E_i}$ and Θ_E injects into $\Theta_Y|_E$ by [BW74, (1.6)]. Taking global sections, it is easy to see that the image of the composition

$$H^0(E, \Theta_E) \to H^0(E, \Theta_Y|_E) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(E_i, \Theta_Y|_{E_i})$$

lies inside $\bigoplus_i H^0(E_i, \Theta_{E_i})$. Its cokernel is a $\sum_i t_i$-dimensional subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{T}_1)$. Since the latter is $\sum_i t_i$-dimensional, we conclude that ψ_0 is surjective.

Next, we claim that the induced map

$$\pi_m : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(\Theta_Y|_{mE}) \to H^0(\mathcal{T}_m)$$

is surjective for all $m \geq 1$. We prove this by induction. Note that $\pi_1 = \psi_0$ is surjective. Consider the following commutative diagram, whose rows and columns are short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \Theta_Y|_E(2m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Theta_Y|_{(m+1)E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Theta_Y|_{mE} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \nu_{m,*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Theta_Y|_{E_i}(2m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \nu_{m,*}\Theta_Y|_{(m+1)E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \nu_{m,*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Theta_Y|_{mE_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{T}_1(2m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{T}_{m+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{T}_m \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Taking cohomology in this diagram, and using that $H^1(E_i, \Theta_Y|_{E_i}(2m)) = 0$ by Lemma 4.7, we see that surjectivity of π_m implies that of π_{m+1}. This establishes the claim.

From the surjectivity of the π_m, we conclude that

$$H^1(\Theta_Y|_{mE}) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H^1(\Theta_Y|_{mE_i})$$

is an isomorphism for all $m \geq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.7, we have shown that $H^1(\Theta_Y|_{mE})$ is n-dimensional for all $m \geq 1$ and that the natural maps $H^1(\Theta_Y|_{(m+1)E}) \to H^1(\Theta_Y|_{mE})$ are isomorphisms. From the theorem on formal functions, we therefore conclude that $R^1\pi_*\Theta_Y$ is an Artin algebra of length n on X. Since the higher cohomology groups on the affine scheme X vanish, the Grothendieck–Leray spectral sequence shows that $H^1(\Theta_Y)$ is n-dimensional.

Now assume that X has a μ_n-quotient singularity. From [LN11, Proposition 2.11.(2)] and the Grothendieck–Leray spectral sequence, we deduce $H^1(\Theta_Y(-\log E)) = 0$. Taking cohomology in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Theta_Y(-\log E) \to \Theta_Y \to \bigoplus_i N_{E_i} \to 0,$$

we conclude $\dim_k H^1(\Theta_Y) = n$. As in [BW74, (1.5)], we find $\dim_k H^1_E(\Theta_Y) \geq n$. We may assume that X is not canonical, in which case X is equivariant by [LN11, Proposition 2.11 (4)]. Then, the natural map $H^1_E(\Theta_Y) \to H^1(\Theta_Y)$ is injective by [BW74, Corollary (1.3)], and hence an isomorphism. □

Remark 4.9 (Failure of Tjurina vanishing). Suppose X has a canonical singularity or a rational triple point. In characteristic zero, Tjurina [Tj68] proved that $H^1(D, \Theta_D) = 0$ for every effective divisor D supported on E. This vanishing result can be used to prove that these singularities are taut, see [Tj68] and the discussion at the beginning of [La73, §2]. It is also used in the proof of [BW74, Proposition (1.10)], which we generalize to positive characteristic in Proposition 4.10 below.

In positive characteristic p, however, if D is an effective divisor supported on E, then a local computation shows that we have an isomorphism

$$\Theta_{nD} \cong \Theta_Y|_{nD} \quad \text{whenever} \quad p|n,$$

which is in contrast to characteristic zero, see [BW74, (1.6)]. Next, assume that $-D$ is f-ample. Replacing D by a sufficiently large multiple, we may assume that $H^1(Y, \Theta_Y(-mD)) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. Taking cohomology in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Theta_Y(-nD) \to \Theta_Y \to \Theta_Y|_{nD} \to 0$$

and using Proposition 4.8, we conclude that

$$H^1(nD, \Theta_{nD}) \neq 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad p|n.$$

In particular, Tjurina vanishing fails for every canonical singularity in every positive characteristic.

The importance of the cohomology groups $H^1(Y, \Theta_Y)$ and $H^1_E(Y, \Theta_Y)$ considered in Proposition 4.8 is the following. The semiuniversal deformation space Def_X of the singularity X has Zariski tangent space $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Similarly, the Zariski tangent space of Def_Y is equal to $H^1(Y, \Theta_Y)$. By Proposition 2.1, we have a Burns–Wahl blow-down

$$\mathrm{Def}_Y \to \mathrm{Def}_X,$$

which induces a map $\beta : H^1(Y, \Theta_Y) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ on Zariski tangent spaces. Over the complex numbers, β is zero [BW74, Proposition (1.10)]; that is, a first order deformation of Y blows down to a locally trivial first-order deformation of X. Moreover, $\ker\beta$ can be identified with the local cohomology group $H^1_E(\Theta_Y)$. In arbitrary characteristic, there is always a map $H^1_E(\Theta_Y) \to \ker\beta$, which is injective if the singularity is equivariant. This latter property was studied for canonical singularities by Wahl [Wa75, Theorem 5.17] and for μ_n-quotients by Lee and Nakayama [LN11, Proposition 2.11].

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose X has a μ_n-quotient singularity, or a canonical singularity which is a linearly reductive quotient singularity. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$H^1_E(Y,\Theta_Y) \overset{\alpha}{\to} H^1(Y,\Theta_Y) \overset{\beta}{\to} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{X/k},\mathcal{O}_X)$$

where β is the tangent map to the Burns–Wahl blow-down. Furthermore,

1. *if X is a wild A-singularity, then α is not injective and β is non-zero,*
2. *in all other cases, α is an isomorphism and β is zero.*

Proof. We have two exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_E(Y,\Theta_Y) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & H^1(Y,\Theta_Y) \arrow[r, "\gamma"] & H^1(Y\backslash E,\Theta_{Y\backslash E}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(L_X,\,\mathcal{O}_X) \arrow[r] & H^1(X\backslash \mathrm{Sing}(X),\,\Theta_{X\backslash \mathrm{Sing}(X)})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the first row is the long exact sequence of local cohomology, and the second row is the exact sequence of [Sch71, Lemma 2]. As explained in [BW74, (1.15)], the image of γ lies in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_X,\mathcal{O}_X)$, and so γ can be identified with the tangent map to the Burns–Wahl blow-down.

First assume that X has a canonical singularity. If it is not an A_{n-1}-singularity with $p|n$, then X is equivariant, see [Wa75, Theorem (5.17)]. For such singularities, the map α is injective by [BW74, Corollary (1.3)]. Since $\dim_k H^1_E(\Theta_Y) = \dim_k H^1(\Theta_Y)$ by Proposition 4.8, we find that α is an isomorphism and β is zero.

On the hand, if X is an A_{n-1}-singularity with $p|n$, that is, a wild A-singularity, then the torsion sheaf $\mathcal{T}$ in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to f_*\Theta_Y \to \Theta_X \to \mathcal{T} \to 0$$

is non-trivial, and the inclusion $H^0(\Theta_Y) \to H^0(\Theta_X)$ is strict, see [Wa75, Remarks (5.18.1)]. From this, we conclude that the restriction map $H^0(Y,\Theta_Y) \to H^0(Y \setminus E,\Theta_Y)$ is not surjective, which implies that α is not injective. Using Proposition 4.8, we see that β is non-zero for dimension reasons.

Finally, if X is a μ_n-quotient singularity, but not a canonical singularity, then we have shown the assertion already in the proof of Proposition 4.8. ☐

Remark 4.11. In characteristic 2, the deformation

$$z^2 + tz + xy = 0$$

of the A_1-singularity over $k[[t]]$ defines a curve inside the semiuniversal deformation space of this singularity. This deformation admits a simultaneous resolution over $k[[t]]$, namely by blowing up the ideal $(x,y,z+t)$, see also the discussion in [Ar74, page 345]. The Burns–Wahl blow-down of this simultaneous resolution gives us back the original deformation. This shows explicitly that β is non-zero.

5. COMPARING THE MINIMAL RESOLUTION AND THE STACKY RESOLUTION

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. In §5.1, we discuss stacky resolutions of linearly reductive quotient singularities and relate lifts of the stack to lifts of its coarse space. In §5.2 we prove (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.3, and in §5.3 we prove (3).

5.1. **Stacky resolutions.** It is a well-known result that if X is a scheme with tame quotient singularities over a field, then there is a canonical way to endow X with stacky structure in a such way that it becomes smooth. More precisely, there is a canonical smooth tame Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{X}$ with coarse space X such that the coarse space map $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is an isomorphism over X^{sm} (see [Vis89, 2.9]).

As shown in §4.1, most canonical singularities are linearly reductive quotient singularities. We are therefore interested in a generalization of the above result for linearly reductive quotient singularities. In this generalization, the role of tame Deligne-Mumford stacks is replaced by the following class of Artin stacks introduced in [AOV08, Definition 3.1] (recall our hypotheses from the notation section).

Definition 5.1. An Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ over a base scheme S is called *tame* if the pushforward functor from the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ to the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on its coarse space is exact.

We then have the following generalization of [Vis89, 2.9].

Theorem 5.2 ([Sa09, Theorem 1.10]). *If X is a scheme with linearly reductive quotient singularities over a perfect field k, then there is a smooth tame stack $\mathcal{X}$ over k with coarse space X. Moreover, if X^{sm} denotes the smooth locus of X, then the induced map*

$$\mathcal{X} \times_X X^{sm} \to X^{sm}$$

is an isomorphism.

We refer to the above coarse space map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to X$ as the *stacky resolution* of X. It is characterized by a universal property, see [Sa09, Lemma 5.5].

Lemma 5.3. *Let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k. Let G be a smooth affine linearly reductive group scheme over k which acts on a smooth affine k-scheme U, and let $\mathcal{X} = [U/G]$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$$

for $n > 0$. The unique lift of $\mathcal{X}$ to A is given by $[U'/G']$, where U' is the unique lift of U and G' is the unique lift of G as a group scheme.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X} = [U/G]$, we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} U \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} k \arrow[d, "g"] \\ \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "h"] & BG \end{tikzcd}$$

This shows that h is smooth and representable. Hence, $L_{\mathcal{X}/BG}$ is a locally free sheaf. From the exact triangle

$$g^*L_{BG/k} \to L_{k/k} \to L_{k/BG},$$

and the fact that $L_{k/k} = 0$, we see $g^*L_{BG/k} = L_{k/BG}[-1]$. Since g is smooth and representable, $L_{k/BG}$ is a locally free sheaf, and so $L_{BG/k}$ is a locally free sheaf concentrated in degree 1. Using the exact triangle

$$h^*L_{BG/k} \to L_{\mathcal{X}/k} \to L_{\mathcal{X}/BG},$$

we see $\mathcal{E}xt^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Since U is affine, h is as well. Since G is linearly reductive, BG is cohomologically affine over k, and so composing with h, we see that $\mathcal{X}$ is cohomologically affine over k, see Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.9(i) of [Al09]. Therefore, for $n > 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = H^0(\mathcal{E}xt^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})) = 0.$$

Since U and G are smooth and affine, there are unique lifts U' and G' as schemes. Again, since G is smooth and affine, the commutative diagrams defining its group scheme structure lift uniquely, yielding a group scheme structure on G'. Similarly, the commutative diagrams defining the action of G on U lift uniquely to yield an action of G' on U'. We therefore see that the lift of $\mathcal{X}$ is given by $[U'/G']$. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let X and $\mathcal{X}$ be as in Theorem 5.2, and let A be a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k. If $\mathcal{X}'$ is a lift of $\mathcal{X}$ to A, then $\mathcal{X}'$ has a coarse space X', which is a lift of X.*

Proof. Since the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}'$ is a deformation of the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}$, it is finite, and so $\mathcal{X}'$ has a coarse space X' by [KM97]. Since $\mathcal{X}'$ is flat over A, [AOV08, Corollary 3.3(b)] shows that X' is as well. Lastly, [AOV08, Corollary 3.3(a)] shows that $X = X' \times_A k$, and so X' is a lift of X. □

5.2. **Positive results.** Throughout this subsection, we fix a complete Noetherian local ring A with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and perfect residue field k. We fix a surface X over k, and let

$$f : Y \to X$$

be its minimal resolution of singularities. We assume that X has μ_n-quotient singularities, or canonical singularities which are linearly reductive quotient singularities (see Proposition 4.2 and Remark 4.4 for a complete list of canonical singularities with this property). Lastly, we let

$$\pi : \mathcal{X} \to X,$$

be the stacky resolution of Theorem 5.2.

We now prove Theorem 1.3(1).

Theorem 5.5. *If $\mathcal{X}$ lifts to A, then Y does as well.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}'$ be a lift of $\mathcal{X}$ and let X' be its coarse space. By Proposition 5.4, X' is a lift of X. We show that the morphism $f : Y \to X$ lifts over X'. Since Y is the blow-up of X along a closed scheme Z, it is enough to lift Z to a closed subscheme $Z' \subset X'$ with Z' flat over A. Indeed, the blow-up of X' along Z' is then flat over A and reduces to Y over k.

Note that Z is supported on the singular locus X^{sing} of X. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(L_{Z/X}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{sing}} \mathcal{E}xt^2(L_{Z/X}, \mathcal{O}_X)_x.$$

Since the obstruction to lifting Z to a closed subscheme of X' lies in this vector space, to show it vanishes, we can look étale locally about each singularity. By [Sa09, Proposition 5.2], we can therefore assume that $\mathcal{X} = [U/G]$ with U smooth affine and G a smooth affine linearly reductive group scheme over k. By Lemma 5.3, $\mathcal{X}' = [U'/G']$ with U' and G' smooth and affine over A.

Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be the pullback of $Z \subset X$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{Z}$ lifts to a closed substack $\mathcal{Z}'$ of $\mathcal{X}'$. Indeed, Lemma 4.14 and Theorem 4.16(ix) of [Al09] show that $\mathcal{Z}'$ has a good moduli space Z' which is flat over A with $Z' \subset X'$ a closed subscheme. Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 6.6 of [Al09] then show that Z' is a lift of Z.

Let $\widetilde{Z} \subset U$ be the pullback of $Z \subset X$. Since $\mathcal{Z} = [\widetilde{Z}/G]$ and G is linearly reductive, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(L_{\mathcal{Z}/BG}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2(L_{\widetilde{Z}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}})$$

identifies $\mathrm{Ext}^2(L_{\mathcal{Z}/BG}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ with $\mathrm{Ext}^2(L_{\widetilde{Z}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}})^G$. Since the obstruction to lifting $\mathcal{Z}$ over BG' maps to the obstruction to lifting $\widetilde{Z}$, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{Z}$ lifts. This follows from [Ha10, Corollary 8.5]. □

We now turn to Theorem 1.3(2).

Theorem 5.6. *If X has no wild A_n-singularities and Y lifts to A, then $\mathcal{X}$ lifts to $A/\mathfrak{m}^2$.*

Proof. Let Y' be a lift of Y to $A/\mathfrak{m}^2$, and let X' be the blow-down of Y' as in Proposition 2.1. We show that $\mathcal{X}$ lifts over X'. Since $\mathcal{E}xt^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is coherent for all n, it follows from Definition 5.1 that

$$R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

As π is an isomorphism over X^{sm}, we see that $\pi_* \mathcal{E}xt^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is supported on the singular locus of X, which is a disjoint union of points. As a result,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = H^0(\pi_* \mathcal{E}xt^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})) = H^0(\mathcal{E}xt^n(L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})).$$

To show $\mathcal{X}$ lifts over X', we can therefore look étale locally on X. By [Sa09, Proposition 5.2], we can assume $\mathcal{X} = [U/G]$ with U affine and G a smooth affine linearly reductive group scheme over k. By Lemma 5.3, $\mathcal{X}$ has a unique lift $\mathcal{X}'$ over A. By Proposition 5.4, the coarse space X'' of $\mathcal{X}'$ is a lift of X to A. Hence, it suffices to show $X' = X''$.

By Proposition 4.10, the map $\beta : H^1(Y, \Theta_Y) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is zero. Similarly, by Lemma 5.3, the map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{\mathcal{X}/k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is zero. Hence, the deformations X' and X'' induced by Y' and $\mathcal{X}'$ are equal. □

5.3. **Counter-examples.** In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.3(3), thereby showing that the lifting results of §5.2 are sharp.

Theorem 5.7. *Over every algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p = 2$, there exists*

1. *a K3 surface X with (wild) A_1-singularities that lifts projectively to $W(k)$ such that*
2. *every smooth model of X lifts to $W(k)$, whereas*
3. *the stacky resolution $\mathcal{X}$ does not lift to $W_2(k)$.*

Proof. Let $X \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ be the purely inseparable double cover defined by $z^2 - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)$, where f is a generic homogeneous polynomial f of degree 6. Then X is a surface with 21 canonical singularities of type A_1, see [Lie12, Theorem 3.4]. Lifting the double cover over $W(k)$, we conclude that X lifts projectively to $W(k)$. The minimal resolution Y of X is a K3 surface. We have $H^0(Y, \Theta_Y) = 0$ by [RS76, Theorem 7], which implies $H^2(Y, \Theta_Y) = 0$ by Serre duality using $\omega_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$, and so, deformations of Y are unobstructed. In particular, Y lifts to $W(k)$, and thus, every smooth model of X lifts to $W(k)$ by Proposition 3.1.

Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ lifts to $W_2(k)$. Let X' and Y' be the lifts of X and Y obtained as in the proof of Theorem 5.5. With notation as in the proof, Z is the disjoint union of the 21 singular points of X and $Z' \subset X'$ is a closed subscheme which is flat over $W_2(k)$. Since Y' is the blow-up of X' along Z', we see that all 21 exceptional divisors E_i of Y extend to relatively flat Cartier divisors E_i' of Y'.

Now, the E_i span a 21-dimensional sublattice of $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$. Since Y is a K3 surface, $H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y)$ is 20-dimensional, and so, the map

$$d\log\ :\ \mathrm{Pic}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k\ \to\ H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y)$$

cannot be injective (as it would be in characteristic zero). Next, we consider the following commutative diagram, whose downward arrows are restriction maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Pic}(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W_2(k) \arrow[r, "d\log"] \arrow[d] & H^1(Y', \Omega^1_{Y'}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Pic}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k \arrow[r, "d\log"] & H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

We make the following observations:

1. Serre duality induces a perfect pairing on $H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y)$ (resp. $H^1(Y', \Omega^1_{Y'})$) of k-modules (resp. $W_2(k)$-modules),
2. the $W_2(k)$-module $H^1(Y', \Omega^1_{Y'})$ is free of rank 20 and the map to $H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y)$ is reduction modulo p (since the crystalline cohomology of Y is torsion-free and its Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1),
3. the assignment
$$(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)\ \mapsto\ \chi(\mathcal{L}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^\vee) - \chi(\mathcal{L}_1^\vee) - \chi(\mathcal{L}_2^\vee) + \chi(\mathcal{O})$$
where $\chi(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \,\mathrm{length}\, H^i(\mathcal{F})$, defines bilinear intersection pairings on $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(Y')$, respectively. Moreover, the restriction map $\mathrm{Pic}(Y') \to \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ respects the bilinear pairings.
4. for invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ on Y (resp. Y'), we have
$$\langle d\log(\mathcal{L}_1),\ d\log(\mathcal{L}_2)\rangle_{\text{Serre duality}}\ =\ \langle \mathcal{L}_1,\ \mathcal{L}_2\rangle_{\text{Picard pairing}}\ \cdot\ 1,$$
see, for example, [Bad01, Excercise 5.5].

Now, the intersection pairings on Pic and $H^1(\Omega^1)$ are compatible modulo p for Y and they are compatible modulo p^2 for Y'. Since the E'_i in $\mathrm{Pic}(Y')$ are pairwise orthogonal and have self-intersection -2, one checks using the compatibility of the intersection pairings that

$$d\log : \left\langle E'_i,\, i = 1, ..., 21\right\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W_2(k) \;\to\; H^1(Y', \Omega^1_{Y'}),$$

is an embedding. This is impossible, as the left hand side is free of rank 21 and the right hand side is free of rank 20. This contradiction shows that a lift of $\mathcal{X}$ to $W_2(k)$ does not exist. $\square$

Remark 5.8. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a sufficiently ample invertible sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2_k$, where k is algebraically closed of positive characteristic p, a generic $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}$-torsor $X \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ will have A_{p-1}-singularities only, see [Lie12, Theorem 3.4]. Moreover, X is the canonical model of a surface of general type. By lifting the cover, X lifts projectively to $W(k)$. Arguments similar to the ones in the proof of Theorem 5.7 show that the stacky resolution $\mathcal{X} \to X$ does not lift to $W_2(k)$. This gives examples in arbitrarily large characteristic of surfaces with wild A_n-singularities that lift projectively to $W(k)$, but whose stacky resolutions do not lift to $W_2(k)$.

REFERENCES

[AOV08] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, and A. Vistoli, *Tame stacks in positive characteristic*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), 1057-1091.

[Al09] J. Alper, *Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks*, preprint (2009), arXiv:0804.2242v3.

[Ar62] M. Artin, *Some numerical criteria for contractability of curves on algebraic surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 485-496.

[Ar69] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 36 (1969) 23-58.

[Ar74] M. Artin, *Algebraic construction of Brieskorn's resolutions*, J. Algebra 29 (1974), 330-348.

[Ar77] M. Artin, *Coverings of the rational double points in characteristic p*, Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, 11-22, Iwanami Shoten, 1977.

[Bad01] L. Bădescu, *Algebraic surfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[BHH87] G. Barthel, F. Hirzebruch, and T. Höfer, *Geradenkonfigurationen und Algebraische Flächen*, Aspects of Mathematics D4, Vieweg 1987.

[BKR01] T. Bridgeland, A. King, and M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), 535–554.

[BW74] D. M. Burns and J. M. Wahl, *Local contributions to global deformations of surfaces*, Invent. Math. 26 (1974), 67-88.

[CR09] A. Chatzistamatiou and K. Rülling, *Higher direct images of the structure sheaf in positive characteristic*, preprint (2009), arXiv:0911.3599.

[CH08] J.–C. Chen and H.–H. Tsend, *A note on derived McKay correspondence*, Math. Res. Lett. 15 (2008), 435–445.

[CSt09] S. Cynk and D. van Straten, *Small resolutions and non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Manuscripta Math. 130 (2009), 233-249.

[DI87] P. Deligne and L. Illusie, *Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 247-270.

[Dur79] A. H. Durfee, *Fifteen characterizations of rational double points and simple critical points*, Enseign. Math. II. Sér. 25 (1979), 132-163.

[Ea08] R. W. Easton, *Surfaces violating Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau in positive characteristic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136, 2271-2278 (2008).

[FMN07] B. Fantechi, E. Mann, and F. Nironi, *Smooth Toric DM Stacks*, preprint (2007), arXiv:0708.1254v1.

[Ha10] R. Hartshorne, *Deformation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 257. Springer, New York, 2010

[Hi99] M. Hirokado, *Singularities of multiplicative p-closed vector fields and global 1-forms of Zariski surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 39, 455-468 (1999).

[Ill05] L. Illusie, *Grothendieck's existence theorem in formal geometry*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 123, Fundamental algebraic geometry, 179-233, AMS (2005).

[KM97] S. Keel and S. Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), 193-213.

[La95] W. E. Lang, *Examples of liftings of surfaces and a problem in de Rham cohomology*, Compositio Math. 97 (1995), 157–160.

[La73] H. Laufer, *Taut two-dimensional singularities*, Math. Ann. 205 (1973), 131-164.

[LN11] Y. Lee and N. Nakayama, *Simply connected surfaces of general type in positive characteristic via deformation theory*, arXiv:1103.5185.

[Lie08] C. Liedtke, *Uniruled surfaces of general type*, Math. Z. 259 (2008), 775-797.

[Lie12] C. Liedtke, *The Canonical Map and Horikawa Surfaces in Positive Characteristic*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not. (2012).

[Lor] D. Lorenzini, *Wild quotient singularities of surfaces*, preprint.

[Mat86] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 8, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[Mu61] D. Mumford, *Pathologies of modular algebraic surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 339-342.

[Na61] M. Nagata, *Complete Reducibility of Rational Representations of a Matrix Group*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (1961), 87-99 .

[Ray78] M. Raynaud, *Contre-exemple au "vanishing theorem" en caractéristique* $p > 0$, C. P. Ramanujam - a tribute, 273-278, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math. 8, Springer (1978).

[Ray83] M. Raynaud, *Around the Mordell conjecture for function fields and a conjecture of Serge Lang*, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), 1-19, Lecture Notes in Math. 1016, Springer 1983.

[RS76] A. Rudakov, I. Šafarevič, *Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 40 (1976), 1269-1307.

[Sa09] M. Satriano, *The Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem for finite linearly reductive group schemes*, preprint (2009), arXiv:0911.2058v1.

[Sch71] M. Schlessinger, *Rigidity of quotient singularities*, Invent. Math. 14 (1971), 17-26.

[Sch88] C. Schoen, *On fiber products of rational elliptic surfaces with section*, Math. Z. 197 (1988), 177-199.

[Sch09] C. Schoen, *Desingularized fiber products of semi-stable elliptic surfaces with vanishing third Betti number*, Compos. Math. 145 (2009), 89-111.

[Ser61] J.-P. Serre, *Exemples de variétés projectives en caractéristique* p *non relevables en caractéristique zéro*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47 (1961) 108–109.

[Suh08] J. Suh, *Plurigenera of general type surfaces in mixed characteristic*, Compos. Math. 144, 1214-1226 (2008).

[Tj68] G. Tjurina, *On the tautness of rationally contractible curves on a surface*, Math. USSR Izvestija 2, 907-934 (1968).

[Vis89] A. Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), 613-670.

[Wa75] J. Wahl, *Vanishing theorems for resolutions of surface singularities*, Invent. Math. 31 (1975), 17-41.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH-HEINE UNIVERSITÄT DÜSSELDORF, UNIVERSITÄTSSTR. 1, D–40225 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY
E-mail address: `liedtke@math.uni-duesseldorf.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 2074 EAST HALL, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1043, USA
E-mail address: `satriano@umich.edu`